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Why are vampires as popular now as ever? The stories of vampires—found in different languages, cultures, and times dating back to prehistory—have a strength and power that suggests not only an archetypal origin connected to cannibalism but also a contemporary need. According to Guillermo del Toro and Chuck Hogan in this New York Times column, the essential qualities of the modern vampire—combining lust and death—still speak to deep desires and fears. Fascination with the vampire is driven by the desire to move beyond the mortal to the immortal and, in a way, regain the sense of wonder that the modern world often removes. Del Toro is a writer and director of films such as Pan's Labyrinth (2006) and the Hellboy series. Hogan is the author of such novels as Prince of Thieves (2004) and Devils in Exile (2010). Together, del Toro and Hogan wrote the Strain vampire trilogy, which has been adapted into an FX television series.

Tonight, you or someone you love will likely be visited by a vampire—on cable television or the big screen, or in the bookstore. Our own novel describes a modern-day epidemic that spreads across New York City. It all started nearly 200 years ago. It was the “Year without a Summer” of 1816, when ash from volcanic eruptions lowered temperatures around the globe, giving rise to widespread famine. A few friends gathered at the Villa Diodati on Lake Geneva and decided to engage in a small competition to see who could come up with the most terrifying tale—and the two great monsters of the modern age were born.

One was created by Mary Godwin, soon to become Mary Shelley, whose Dr. Frankenstein gave life to a desolate creature. The other monster was less created than fused. John William Polidori stitched together folklore, personal resentment, and erotic anxieties into The Vampyre, a story that is the basis for vampires as they are understood today.

With The Vampyre, Polidori gave birth to the two main branches of vampiric fiction: the vampire as romantic hero, and the vampire as undead monster. This ambivalence may reflect Polidori’s own, as it is widely accepted that Lord Ruthven, the titular creature, was based upon Lord Byron—literary superstar of the era and another resident of the lakeside villa that fateful summer. Polidori tended to Byron day and night, both as his doctor and most devoted groupie. But Polidori resented him as well: Byron was dashing and brilliant, while the poor doctor had a rather drab talent and unremarkable physique.
But this was just a new twist to a very old idea. The myth, established well before the invention of the word “vampire,” seems to cross every culture, language and era. The Indian Baital, the Ch’ing Shih in China, and the Romanian Strigoi are but a few of its names. The creature seems to be as old as Babylonia and Sumer. Or even older.

The vampire may originate from a repressed memory we had as primates. Perhaps at some point we were—out of necessity—cannibalistic. As soon as we became sedentary, agricultural tribes with social boundaries, one seminal\textsuperscript{9} myth might have featured our ancestors as primitive beasts who slept in the cold loam of the earth and fed off the salty blood of the living.

Monsters, like angels, are invoked by our individual and collective needs. Today, much as during that gloomy summer in 1816, we feel the need to seek their cold embrace.

Herein lies an important clue: in contrast to timeless creatures like the dragon, the vampire does not seek to obliterate us, but instead offers a peculiar brand of blood alchemy.\textsuperscript{9} For as his contagion bestows its nocturnal gift, the vampire transforms our vile, mortal selves into the gold of eternal youth, and instills in us something that every social construct seeks to quash: primal lust. If youth is desire married with unending possibility, then vampire lust creates within us a delicious void, one we long to fulfill.

In other words, whereas other monsters emphasize what is mortal in us, the vampire emphasizes the eternal in us. Through the panacea\textsuperscript{9} of its blood it turns the lead of our toxic flesh into golden matter.

In a society that moves as fast as ours, where every week a new “blockbuster” must be enthroned at the box office, or where idols are fabricated by consensus every new television season, the promise of something everlasting, something truly eternal, holds a special allure. As a seductive figure, the vampire is as flexible and polyvalent\textsuperscript{9} as ever. Witness its slow mutation from the pansexual, decadent Anne Rice creatures to the current permutations—promising anything from chaste eternal love to wild nocturnal escapades—and there you will find the true essence of immortality: adaptability.

Vampires find their niche and mutate at an accelerated rate now—in the past one would see, for decades, the same variety of fiend, repeated in multiple storylines. Now, vampires simultaneously occur in all forms

\textbf{seminal}: creative, original; containing the seeds of later development.
\textbf{alchemy}: the process of transforming something ordinary into something special.
\textbf{panacea}: a cure-all; a remedy for all illnesses or difficulties.
\textbf{polyvalent}: having multiple powers of attraction.
and tap into our every need: soap opera storylines, sexual liberation, noir
detective fiction, etc. The myth seems to be twittering promiscuously to
serve all avenues of life, from cereal boxes to romantic fiction. The fast
pace of technology accelerates its viral dispersion in our culture.

But if Polidori remains the roots in the genealogy of our culture, the
most widely known vampire was birthed by Bram Stoker in 1897.

Part of the reason for the great success of his Dracula is generally ac-
knowledged to be its appearance at a time of great technological revol-
ution. The narrative is full of new gadgets (telegraphs, typing machines),
various forms of communication (diaries, ship logs), and cutting-edge
science (blood transfusions)—a mash-up of ancient myth in conflict
with the world of the present.

Today as well, we stand at the rich uncertain dawn of a new level of
scientific innovation. The wireless technology we carry in our pockets
today was the stuff of the science fiction in our youth. Our technological
arrogance mirrors more and more the Wellsian dystopia of dissatisfaction,
while allowing us to feel safe and connected at all times. We can call, see or
hear almost anything and anyone no-
matter where we are. For most people then, the only remote place re-
mains within. “Know thyself” we do not.

Despite our obsessive harnessing of information, we are still ultimately
vulnerable to our fates and our nightmares. We enthrone the deadly virus
in the very same way that Dracula allowed the British public to believe in
monsters: through science. Science becomes the modern man’s supersti-
tion. It allows him to experience fear and awe again, and to believe in the
things he cannot see.

And through awe, we once again regain spiritual humility. The cur-
rent vampire pandemic serves to remind us that we have no true jurisdic-
tion over our bodies, our climate or our very souls. Monsters will always
provide the possibility of mystery in our mundane “reality show” lives, hinting at a larger spiritual world; for if there are demons in our midst,
there surely must be angels lurking nearby as well. In the vampire we
find Eros and Thanatos fused together in archetypal embrace, spiraling
through the ages, undying.

Forever.

Understanding the Text

1. What are the two main branches of vampire lore that John Polidori fused in his story The Vampyre? How does this relate to what the authors call his "ambivalence" about Lord Byron (par. 4)?

2. How do vampires relate to practices of cannibalism? If cannibalism is far in our past, why do vampires still have such popularity today?

3. According to the authors, "As a seductive figure, the vampire is as flexible and polyvalent as ever" (par. 10). What do they mean by that? Explain, citing specific examples.

Reflection and Response

4. The authors state that Bram Stoker's Dracula welded together the old vampire mythology with the technological revolutions going on in Stoker's time. What about today's technological advances can be looked at as modern instances of the "new gadgets" (par. 13) of Stoker's time, and how do they influence more current renditions of the vampire myth?

5. The authors argue that "we are still ultimately vulnerable to our fates and our nightmares" (par. 15). Has science and technology taken away our sense of "fear and awe"? If so, how does the vampire myth help return that to us? If not, has science become "the modern man's superstition" (par. 15), as argued by the authors? Use examples to develop your response.

Making Connections

6. The authors state that the vampire combines lust and death. Read the selection from Bram Stoker's Dracula (p. 196) and use specific details to argue how that passage combines both of these elements. How do our current cultural attitudes toward lust and death influence more recent vampire stories?

7. Using a current vampire myth, such as the Twilight series by Stephenie Meyer, the Anne Rice books, or even Guillermo del Toro and Chuck Hogan's Strain trilogy, show how it helps us, as the authors say, "regain spiritual humility" (par. 16). Consider also the assumption in the same statement that spiritual humility has been lost and that we now believe we have "true jurisdiction over our bodies." Is this belief a result of our advances in medicine or technology? How does the vampire myth you have chosen serve to help us regain that humility? Support your argument with specific examples.

8. Research the human history of cannibalism and the history of vampires in older cultures and myths. (The authors have named several that will give you a good starting point.) Analyze how the practice of cannibalism, whether from the prehistoric past or more recent times, relates to the stories of vampires.

9. Research past medical practices, such as the widespread use of leeches, and argue how vampires can be seen as connected with disease.